EXHIBIT 313

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 3 CASE NUMBER: 22-CV-10904-JSR 4 ACTION FOR DAMAGES 5 GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES 6 VIRGIN ISLANDS, 7 Plaintiff, 8 VS. 9 JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., 10 Defendant.) 11 12 13 14 15 VIDEO RECORDED DEPOSITION OF 16 VINCENT FRAZER 17 THURSDAY, JULY 13, 2023 18 19 2.0 REPORTED BY: 21 DENISE D. HARPER-FORDE Certified Shorthand Reporter (CSR) 22 Certified RealTime Reporter (CRR) 23 Certified LiveNote Reporter (CLR) Registered Professional Reporter (RPR) 24 Notary Public (FLORIDA) 25



1	is our counterproposal."
2	Do you see that?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Was it important from your
5	perspective to try to reach some kind
6	of agreement on a proposal with
7	counsel for Mr. Epstein?
8	ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Objection
9	to form.
LO	THE WITNESS: It was no less
L1	important as how consideration we
L2	would give to any citizen that has an
L3	interest in pending legislation that
L4	we may be willing to hear from them.
L5	(BY ATTORNEY NEIMAN):
L6	Q. Uh-huh. So it's normal in
L7	your practice when you're considering
L8	criminal justice regulation to make
L9	sure it's agreeable to the prospective
20	offenders that the legislation
21	A. We have had
22	Q will regulate to the
23	prospective offenders that the
24	legislation will regulate?
25	ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Objection



1	to form.
2	THE WITNESS: We have had
3	I've had my experience, several
4	times, in maybe legislation that is
5	pending that we receive commends and
6	concerns from defense counsel, whether
7	it be private counsel or the Public
8	Defender's Office, and we give some
9	consideration to what they may be
LO	requesting.
L1	It doesn't mean we would agree
L2	them and put what they want in it.
L3	But if we feel that it does not
L4	obstruct the objective and efficacy of
L5	the legislation, we would engage in
L6	that discussion.
L7	(BY ATTORNEY NEIMAN):
L8	Q. So you're saying it would be
L9	typical for you to share back and
20	forth drafts and see if you can reach
21	agreement with the offenders on
22	whether they like the legislation
23	you're proposing?
24	ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Objection
25	to form.



1	THE WITNESS: That's not what
2	I said.
3	(BY ATTORNEY NEIMAN):
4	Q. Okay. Would you agree that
5	that's what happened here?
6	A. No.
7	ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Objection
8	to form.
9	(BY ATTORNEY NEIMAN):
10	Q. There wasn't a back and forth
11	with counsel for the offender?
12	ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Objection
13	to form.
14	(BY ATTORNEY NEIMAN):
15	Q. You can answer.
16	A. I had discussions back and
17	forth with legal counsel.
18	Q. For the offender?
19	A. Maria Maria Hodge represent
20	a whole lot of different people. When
21	I speak to Maria when I speak to
22	Maria Hodge in in this exchange on
23	this, I am extending a courtesy to a
24	member of the Virgin Islands bar in
25	trying to craft a legislation that



1 affects the -- the whole Virgin 2 Islands, everyone in the Virgin 3 Islands. 4 So that's who I'm negotiating 5 with. I'm not negotiating with 6 I don't have anything with Epstein. 7 Epstein. I wasn't -- I had the 8 exchange and proposals with Attorney 9 Hodge --10 All right. 0. 11 -- who at the same time had, I Α. 12 think from -- from what you show from 13 Exhibit 12 -- well, I'm sorry, one of 14 the exhibits, was having -- was 15 making -- submitting her proposal to 16 the legislature as well. So the 17 passage of the law, I mean, it comes 18 from many different sources. 19 Ο. Yeah. Did you think Ms. Hodge 20 was working for anybody other than 21 Mr. Epstein? 22 I had an exchange with 23 Attorney Maria Hodge as a respected 24 member of the Virgin Islands bar. And 25 regardless of who she's working for, I



1 will give her the same respect. 2 That's not what I asked you, O. 3 sir. 4 Well, that's what I'm telling Α. 5 you, that's how it is. 6 Did you --Ο. 7 Α. That's what -- but regardless 8 of who -- if Maria Hodge represents 9 someone who is a murderer --10 0. Uh-huh. 11 -- and there is a legislation Α. 12 that is -- that is going through that 13 she has an interest that may have an 14 impact with her client, I will engage 15 in a discussion with her with regard 16 to the law, a proposed law, as a 17 courtesy and respect to her as a 18 respected member of the bar. 19 Ο. So I'm going to resist the 20 urge here. I'll ask you a different 21 question. Let me just make sure I 22 understand who you thought Ms. Hodge 23 represented in the time that you were 24 having this dialogue. Am I correct



that you understood that Ms. Hodge

25